

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,444	03/26/2004	David M. Colleran	4363P005C	8952	
8791	7590 09/29/2	06	EXAMINER		
_	SOKOLOFF TAY	MIS, DAVID C			
12400 WILS SEVENTH I	HIRE BOULEVARI FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGE	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		Application No.					
Office Assistant Communication		10/810,444	COLLERAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Mis	2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a common of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
<u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 0326 0727 0907 0302 0918.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,444

Art Unit: 2817

1. The drawings are objected to because figures 6A (Cont.) and 7B (Cont.) do not comply with 37CFR1.84(d), (h)(2), (u). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2817

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially 2. created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-30 of U. S. Patent No. 6,909,330 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: That which was

Art Unit: 2817

construed to cover the patented claims also covers that which is now claimed.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-4 are directed to mathematical algorithms, and claims 5-8 are directed to mathematical algorithms on a machine-readable medium. The claimed subject matter appears to be a process; the claims include steps for developing a more detailed description. The process is limited to abstract ideas, per se; the monomial and posynomial equations and expressions describing the PLL building block and system are abstract ideas about PLL's. The algorithms and developed description, alone and on a machine-readable medium and as processed by a processor are not tangible, not physical. Transformation is only of ideas and data from less to more detailed

Art Unit: 2817

descriptions. There are potential building blocks that would not result in a more detailed description being developed, thus the claimed result does not necessarily occur. The basic building block has to be substituted correctly for the result to occur; the basic building block's characteristics have to be within practical limits for a useful result to occur; the basic building block and its characteristics have to have been known for the result to occur. A successfully obtained more detailed description would be useful to one of ordinary skill in the art, however, as said above, a successful result is not assured. The claimed result is not real-world; the results are abstract ideas and data per se.

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter for only using appropriate basic building blocks, and not basic building blocks that will not necessarily be substitutable for obtaining the claimed result has

Art Unit: 2817

not been disclosed. All possible models for all possible past, present and future basic building blocks are covered by the claims but not enabled by the specification. Trial and error for creating all necessary basic building blocks and PLL expressions is not enabled by the specification, and the trials that fail do not provide the claimed results.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b.) as being clearly anticipated by Martin (IDS 03/26/04).

Martin disclosed developing a more detailed description of a PLL (FIG. 1), posynomial equation (1) for system level current mirror 14, posynomial equation (2) having transistor level parameters, and "Substituting equation (2) into equation (1) ..." (column 4, line 58). Equation (1) is part of the family of posynomial equations for the PLL; equation (2) includes lower, transistor level building block characteristics of the PLL, which developed a more detailed description of a PLL system by substituting.

Art Unit: 2817

9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b.) as being clearly anticipated by Chan et al (IDS 03/26/04).

Chan et al disclosed developing a more detailed description of a PLL in a text explaining circuit analysis. See especially page 24, equation 1.9.1 for Kirchhoff's voltage law (KVL). See especially page 46, Example 2.6.5 and figure 2.6.5, "The KVL-equation around the only circuit is written, with the edge-voltages substituted by the corresponding voltage-current relationship (VCR-) equations ..." Equation 1.9.1 is a posynomial with the coefficients equal to 1 and with the power also equal to 1. The "edge voltage" for the resistor is replaced by a monomial. Resistive network topologies were solved using the posynomial and with resistive monomials or other resistive posynomials substituted therein.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the

Art Unit: 2817

time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 8

12. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin in view of Chan et al.

Martin disclosed a PLL as described above using substitution of lower level posynomial into system level posynomial equations. Not showing all the known posynomial equations. Chan et al disclosed a general text applicable to all circuits including PLL's as explained above where an exemplary system level posynomial had terms thereof replaced by lower level posynomial terms, for all known polynomial equations. The PLL art is covered by the general circuit design text. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to substitute lower level posynomial characteristics in system level posynomials for obtaining solutions for all of the parameters of the system. It was known to gather all of the equations known for a given system and all of its elements, thus all of the posynomials and monomials too, for determining all of the parameter values by substituting wherever possible. It was known to use

Art Unit: 2817

computers to do this, therefore having the method in machine readable form.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Mis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1765. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Mis Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2817